Peer Review Policy for the ‘International Journal of Information Dissemination and Technology’ (IJIDT)

Review policy of a journal ensures about the originality of content. It measures standard and quality of the publication. All reputable and scholarly publication carries their review policy for publication. Our reviewers have impressive or remarkable role to maintain the standards of the ‘International Journal of Information Dissemination and Technology’ (IJIDT). The procedure for peer review adopted by the ‘IJIDT’ is outlined below:

**Initial Evaluation**
The editors conduct initial review for selection/rejections of the papers. It is entirely feasible for accept a manuscript. Each article is reviewed and checked with reputable plagiarism detection software. Those articles or manuscripts are poorly original, have serious scientific flaws, have inappropriate uses of grammar, language and also outside from aims or scope of the IJIDT are rejected at this stage. Intimation of rejection of manuscripts at this stage takes 2-4 weeks.

**Type of Peer Review**
In the peer review process, IJIDT used double blind review strategy. Initially, editorial team conducts the primary review and forwarded to the experts in the concerned subject area.

**How the reviewer is selected**
IJIDT choose reviewer according to the subject expertise. Our reviewer database contains all updated details, undertakings and other testimonials of subject area of interest of the reviewers.

**Reviewer Reports**
- Originality of work
- Appropriate method used or systematically sound
- Should be follow ethics and author’s guidelines.
- Properly arranged results and support the conclusion
- Properly cited or quoted

Copy editing, Language correction is not part of the peer review process. Reviewer can give their personal opinion in the “reviewer blind comments to Author” segment and can express their view “Reviewer confidential comments to Editor” segment

**How long does the peer review process take?**
The review process of manuscripts takes 2-4 weeks. In some of circumstances it can be delayed for 6-8 weeks for expert opinion. Duly revised manuscripts returned to the editors within 3 weeks. Editors may request for further opinions from the reviewers at this stage. The editors may request more than one revision of a manuscript.

**Final Report**
A final decision of acceptation or rejection of the paper will be sent to the author along with recommendations made by the reviewers.

**Editor’s Decision is final**
In the acceptance or rejection of the article, Editor’s decision will be final.